arXiv:1504.03793v2 [math.AP] 24 Nov 2015

The obstacle problem for nonlinear degenerate equations with L^1-data

Jun Zheng[1], Binhua Feng[2], Zhihua Zhang[3]

[1]Department of Basic Course, Southwest Jiaotong University
Emeishan 614202, China
[2]College of Mathematics and Statistics, Northwest Normal University
Lanzhou 730070, China
[3]School of Mathematical Sciences
University of Electronic Science and Technology of China
Chengdu 611731, China

[1]zhengjun2014@aliyun.com, [2]binhuaf@163.com, [3]zhihuamath@aliyun.com

Abstract

The aim of this paper is to study the obstacle problem with an elliptic operator having degenerate coercivity. We prove the existence of an entropy solution to the obstacle problem under the assumption of L^1-summability on the data. Meanwhile, we prove that every entropy solution belongs to some Sobolev space $W^{1,q}(\Omega)$.

Keywords: Obstacle problem; Noncoercive elliptic operator; L^1-data; Entropy solution

2010 MSC: 35J87 35J70 35B45

1 Introduction

1.1 Some remarks and comments

We begin with some remarks about the Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} -\text{div}\,\frac{a(x,\nabla u)}{(1+|u|)^{\theta(p-1)}}+b|u|^{r-2}u=f, & \text{in } \Omega,\\ u=0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where $\Omega\subset\mathbb{R}^N(N\geq 2)$ is a bounded domain, $\theta>0, p>1, 1\leq r<p$, b is a constant, f is a measurable function, $a:\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N\to\mathbb{R}^N$ is a Carathéodory function, satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} &a(x,\xi)\cdot\xi\geq\alpha|\xi|^p,\\ &|a(x,\xi)|\leq\beta|\xi|^{p-1},\\ &(a(x,\xi)-a(x,\eta))(\xi-\eta)>0, \end{aligned}$$

for almost every x in Ω and for every ξ, η in $\mathbb{R}^N(\xi \neq \eta)$, where α and β are positive constants.

Problems of $p-$Laplacian type with lower order terms, i.e., $\theta = 0$, have been well studied in both the existence and regularity aspects with f having different summability, see [4, 11, 13] for instance. But due to the lack of coercivity of the differential operator with $\theta > 0$ (it may not tend to infinity on the same space as u becomes large), the classical methods used in order to prove the existence of a solution for elliptic equations (see [18]) cannot be applied even if the data f is regular. However, in [9](see also [16, 17, 22]), a whole range of existence results was proved for the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\text{div } \frac{A(x)\nabla u}{(1+|u|)^\theta} = f, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

under the assumptions that A is a uniformly elliptic bounded matrix and $\theta \in (0, 1]$, yielding solutions in some Sobolev space $W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)(q \leq 2)$, if f is regular enough.

For $\theta > 0$ and different summability of the datum f, Alvino, Boccardo, Ferone, Orsina, Trombetti, et al., have done a lot of work on the existence and regularity of a solution to the problems like (1.2)(see [1, 2, 9, 16, 17, 22] and references therein). The lack of coercivity implies that the standard Leray-Lions surjectivity theorem can not be used even in the case $f \in W^{-1,p'}(\Omega)$. However, by cutting the nonlinearity and using the technique of approximation, a pseudomonotone and coercive differential operator on $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ can be applied to establish a priori estimates on approximating solutions. As a result, existence and regularity of a solution to the problems like (1.2) can be obtained by taking limitation, due to the almost everywhere convergence for the gradients of the approximating solutions.

This frame work has been extended to the problems with a lower order term, considering

$$\begin{cases} -\text{div } \frac{A(x)\nabla u}{(1+|u|)^\theta} + u = f, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \tag{1.3}$$

with $f \in L^m(\Omega), m \geq 1$. Existence of solutions (including distributional solutions ($W^{1,1}$-regular) and entropy solutions) was established in [5, 8, 15]. Recently, problems like (1.3) were extended to variable Sobolev space in [19], obtaining renormalized and entropy solutions with $f \in L^1(\Omega)$.

However, there are little literatures that consider much higher regularity for entropy solutions of the problems like (1.1) with noncoercivity, lower terms and L^1-data. It is the purpose in this paper to establish a certain regularity for these entropy solutions. The interesting cases are those of $1 < p < N$, since for $p > N$ the variational methods of Leray-Lions (see, for instance, [20]) can be easily applied to get a solution in some Sobolev space $W^{1,q}(\Omega)$.

We should mention that problems like (1.1) with $\theta = 0$ and $b = 0$, i.e., the case of p-Laplacian type, have been well studied in the past and have been extended to unilateral problems in [7, 10, 21] etc. in Sobolev space, and in [12, 23] in variable Sobolev space and Orlicz Sobolev space respectively. We should also note that some regularizing effect on the solutions by lower order terms have been studied in [8, 14, 15] etc..

1.2 Our problem and main result

In this paper, we study the obstacle problem for nonlinear noncoercive elliptic equations with lower order term and data $f \in L^1(\Omega)$, considering the operator

$$Au = -\mathrm{div}\ \frac{a(x, \nabla u)}{(1+|u|)^{\theta(p-1)}} + b|u|^{r-2}u, \tag{1.4}$$

where b is a nonnegative constant and $a : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}^N$ is a Carathéodory function, satisfying the following conditions:

$$a(x,\xi) \cdot \xi \geq \alpha|\xi|^p, \tag{1.5}$$

$$|a(x,\xi)| \leq \beta(j(x) + |\xi|^{p-1}), \tag{1.6}$$

$$(a(x,\xi) - a(x,\eta))(\xi - \eta) > 0, \tag{1.7}$$

for almost every x in Ω, and for every ξ, η in $\mathbb{R}^N$ with $\xi \neq \eta$, where $\alpha, \beta > 0$ are constants, and j is a nonnegative function in $L^{p'}(\Omega)$.

Given functions $g,\ \psi \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, the obstacle problem associated with (1.4) can be formulated in terms of the inequality

$$\int_\Omega \frac{a(x,\nabla u)}{(1+|u|)^{\theta(p-1)}} \cdot \nabla(u-v)\mathrm{d}x + \int_\Omega b|u|^{r-2}u(u-v)\mathrm{d}x \leq \int_\Omega f(u-v)\mathrm{d}x, \quad \forall v \in K_{g,\psi}, \tag{1.8}$$

whenever $1 \leq r < p, f \in W^{-1,p'}(\Omega)$ and the convex subset

$$K_{g,\psi} = \{v \in W^{1,p}(\Omega);\ v - g\ \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega),\ v \geq \psi,\ \text{a.e. in } \Omega\}$$

is nonempty. However, for a general $f \in L^1(\Omega)$, the third integration in (1.8) is not defined, and following [1, 3, 7] etc., we are led to the more general definition of a solution to the obstacle problem, using the truncation function

$$T_s(t) = \max\{-s, \min\{s,t\}\}, \quad s,t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Definition 1 *An entropy solution of the obstacle problem associated with* (f, ψ, g) *and* $f \in L^1(\Omega)$ *is a measurable function* u *such that* $u \geq \psi$ *a.e. in* Ω, $|u|^{r-1} \in L^1(\Omega)$ *and for every* $s > 0$, $T_s(u) - T_s(g) \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ *and*

$$\int_\Omega \frac{a(x,\nabla u)}{(1+|u|)^{\theta(p-1)}} \cdot \nabla(T_s(u-v))\,dx + \int_\Omega b|u|^{r-2}uT_s(u-v)\,dx \leq \int_\Omega fT_s(u-v)\,dx, \quad \forall v \in K_{g,\psi}. \tag{1.9}$$

Throughout this paper, without special statements, we always assume

$$2-\frac{1}{N}<p<N,\ 1\le r<p,\ 0\le\theta<\min\left\{\frac{N}{N-1}-\frac{1}{p-1},\frac{p-r}{p-1}\right\},$$

and $\psi, g \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)\cap L^\infty(\Omega)$, and $(\psi-g)^+ \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ such that $K_{g,\psi}\neq\emptyset$. We make further assumption on a:

$$|a(x,\xi)-a(x,\eta)|\le\gamma\begin{cases}|\xi-\eta|^{p-1}, & \text{if } 1<p<2,\\ (1+|\xi|+|\eta|)^{p-2}|\xi-\eta|, & \text{if } p\ge 2,\end{cases}\tag{1.10}$$

for almost every x in Ω, and for every ξ,η in $\mathbb{R}^N$, where γ is a positive constant.

The main result in this paper is

Theorem 1 *(i) Let $f\in L^1(\Omega)$. Then there exists at least one entropy solution u of the obstacle problem associated with (f,ψ,g). In addition, u depends continuously on f, i.e., if $f_n\to f$ in $L^1(\Omega)$ and u_n is a solution to the obstacle problem associated with (f_n,ψ,g), then*

$$u_n\to u \ \textit{in}\ W^{1,q}(\Omega), \forall q\in\begin{cases}\left(\frac{N(r-1)}{N+r-1},\frac{N(p-1)(1-\theta)}{N-1-\theta(p-1)}\right), & \textit{if}\ \ \frac{2N-1}{N-1}\le r<p,\\ \left(1,\frac{N(p-1)(1-\theta)}{N-1-\theta(p-1)}\right), & \textit{if}\ \ 1\le r<\min\{\frac{2N-1}{N-1},p\}.\end{cases}\tag{1.11}$$

(ii) Let $f\in L^1(\Omega)$. Then every entropy solution u of the obstacle problem associated with (f,ψ,g) belongs to Sobolev space $W^{1,q}(\Omega)$ for all q given by (1.11)*.*

Remark 1 *(i)* $\left(\frac{N(r-1)}{N+r-1},\frac{N(p-1)(1-\theta)}{N-1-\theta(p-1)}\right)\subset\left(1,\frac{N(p-1)(1-\theta)}{N-1-\theta(p-1)}\right)$ *if* $\frac{2N-1}{N-1}\le r<p$. *Indeed,* $\theta<\frac{p-r}{p-1}+\frac{p(r-1)}{N(p-1)}\Leftrightarrow\frac{N(p-1)(1-\theta)}{N-1-\theta(p-1)}>\frac{N(r-1)}{N+r-1}$, *while* $\frac{2N-1}{N-1}\le r$ *gives* $\frac{N(r-1)}{N+r-1}\ge 1$. *Thus* $u_n\to u$ *in* $W^{1,q}(\Omega)$ *for all* $q\in\left(1,\frac{N(p-1)(1-\theta)}{N-1-\theta(p-1)}\right)$.

(ii) $r-1<\frac{Nq}{N-q}$. *Indeed, by* $1\le r<\frac{2N-1}{N-1}$, *there holds* $r-1<\frac{N}{N-1}<\frac{Nq}{N-q}$ *for any* $q>1$, *particularly, for* $q\in(1,\frac{N(p-1)(1-\theta)}{N-1-\theta(p-1)})$. *For* $r\ge\frac{2N-1}{N-1}$, *it suffices to note that* $q>\frac{N(r-1)}{N+r-1}\Leftrightarrow r-1<\frac{Nq}{N-q}$.

(iii) $q<p$. *Indeed,* $0\le\theta<\frac{N}{N-1}-\frac{1}{p-1}<\frac{N-1}{p-1}\Rightarrow\frac{N(p-1)(1-\theta)}{N-1-\theta(p-1)}<p$.

1.3 Notations

$\|u\|_p=\|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}$ is the norm of $L^p(\Omega)$, where $1\le p\le\infty$.

$\|u\|_{1,p}=\|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)}$ is the norm of $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, where $1<p<\infty$.

$p'=\frac{p}{p-1}$ with $1<p<\infty$.

$u^+ = \max\{u, 0\}$, $u^- = (-u)^+$, if u is a real-valued function.

C is a constant, which may be different from each other.

$\{u > s\} = \{x \in \Omega; u(x) > s\}$.

$\{u \leq s\} = \Omega \setminus \{u > s\}$.

$\{u < s\} = \{x \in \Omega; u(x) < s\}$.

$\{u \geq s\} = \Omega \setminus \{u < s\}$.

$\{u = s\} = \{x \in \Omega; u(x) = s\}$.

$\{t \leq u < s\} = \{u \geq t\} \cap \{u < s\}$.

$\mathcal{L}^N$ is the Lebesgue measure of $\mathbb{R}^N$.

$|E| = \mathcal{L}^N(E)$ for a measurable set E.

2 Lemmas on entropy solutions

It is worthy to note that, for any smooth function f_n, there exists at least one solution to the obstacle problem (1.8). Indeed, one can proceed exactly as Theorem 7.1 of [15] to obtain $W^{1,p}-$solutions due to the assumptions (1.5)-(1.7) on a and $r - 1 < p$. These solutions, in particular, are also entropy solutions. In this section we establish several auxiliary results on convergence of sequences of entropy solutions when $f_n \to f$ in $L^1(\Omega)$. We start with an a priori estimate.

Lemma 2 *Let $v_0 \in K_{g,\psi} \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$, and let u be an entropy solution of the obstacle problem associated with (f, ψ, g). Then, we have*

$$\int_{\{|u|<t\}} \frac{|\nabla u|^p}{(1+|u|)^{\theta(p-1)}} dx \leq C(1 + t^r), \ \forall t > 0,$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on $\alpha, \beta, p, r, b, \|j\|_{p'}, \|\nabla v_0\|_p, \|v_0\|_\infty$, and $\|f\|_1$.

Proof. Take v_0 as a test function in (1.9). For t large enough such that $t - \|v_0\|_\infty > 0$, we get

$$\int_{\{|v_0-u|<t\}} \frac{a(x, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla u}{(1+|u|)^{\theta(p-1)}} \mathrm{d}x \leq \int_{\{|v_0-u|<t\}} \frac{a(x, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla v_0}{(1+|u|)^{\theta(p-1)}} \mathrm{d}x + \int_\Omega f(T_t(u - v_0)) \mathrm{d}x - \int_\Omega b|u|^{r-2} u T_t(u - v_0)) \mathrm{d}x. \tag{2.1}$$

We estimate each integration in the right-hand side of (2.1). It follows from (1.6) and Young's inequality with $\varepsilon > 0$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\{|v_0-u|<t\}} \frac{a(x,\nabla u)\cdot\nabla v_0}{(1+|u|)^{\theta(p-1)}}\mathrm{d}x \le \int_{\{|v_0-u|<t\}} \frac{\beta(|j|+|\nabla u|^{p-1})\cdot|\nabla v_0|}{(1+|u|)^{\theta(p-1)}}\mathrm{d}x \\
&\le \int_{\{|v_0-u|<t\}} \frac{\beta\varepsilon(|j|^{p'}+|\nabla u|^p)}{(1+|u|)^{\theta(p-1)}}\mathrm{d}x + \int_{\{|v_0-u|<t\}} \frac{\beta C(\varepsilon)|\nabla v_0|^p}{(1+|u|)^{\theta(p-1)}}\mathrm{d}x \\
&\le \varepsilon\int_{\{|v_0-u|<t\}} \frac{|\nabla u|^p}{(1+|u|)^{\theta(p-1)}}\mathrm{d}x + C(\|j\|_{p'}^{p'}+\|\nabla v_0\|_p^p). \qquad (2.2)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
-\int_\Omega b|u|^{r-2}uT_t(u-v_0)\mathrm{d}x = &-\int_{\{|u-v_0|\le t\}} b|u|^{r-2}uT_t(u-v_0)\mathrm{d}x \\
&-\int_{\{|u-v_0|>t\}} b|u|^{r-2}uT_t(u-v_0)\mathrm{d}x. \qquad (2.3)
\end{aligned}$$

Note that on the set $\{|u-v_0|\le t\}$,

$$||u|^{r-2}uT_t(u-v_0)| \le t|t+\|v_0\|_\infty|^{r-1} \le C(1+t^r), \qquad (2.4)$$

where C is a constant depending only on r, $\|v_0\|_\infty$.
On the set $\{|u-v_0|>t\}$, we have $|u|\ge t-\|v_0\|_\infty>0$, thus u and $T_t(u-v_0)$ have the same sign. It flows

$$-\int_{\{|u-v_0|>t\}} b|u|^{r-2}uT_t(u-v_0)\mathrm{d}x \le 0. \qquad (2.5)$$

Combining (2.3)-(2.5), we get

$$-\int_\Omega b|u|^{r-2}uT_t(u-v_0)\mathrm{d}x \le C(1+t^r). \qquad (2.6)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\{|v_0-u|<t\}} \frac{|\nabla u|^p}{(1+|u|)^{\theta(p-1)}}\mathrm{d}x &\le C(\|j\|_{p'}^{p'}+\|\nabla v_0\|_p^p+t\|f\|_1+1+t^r) \\
&\le C(1+t^r). \qquad (2.7)
\end{aligned}$$

Replacing t with $t+\|v_0\|_\infty$ in (2.7) and noting that $\{|u|<t\}\subset\{|v_0-u|<t+\|v_0\|_\infty\}$, one may obtain the desired result. □

In the rest of this section, let $\{u_n\}$ be a sequence of entropy solutions of the obstacle problem associated with (f_n,ψ,g) and assume that

$$f_n \to f \text{ in } L^1(\Omega) \text{ and } \|f_n\|_1 \le \|f\|_1+1.$$

Lemma 3 *There exists a measurable function u such that $u_n \to u$ in measure, and $T_k(u_n) \rightharpoonup T_k(u)$ weakly in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ for any $k > 0$. Thus $T_k(u_n) \to T_k(u)$ strongly in $L^p(\Omega)$ and a.e. in Ω.*

Proof. Let s, t and ε be positive numbers. One may verify that for every $m, n \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}^N(\{|u_n - u_m| > s\}) \leq \mathcal{L}^N(\{|u_n| > t\}) + \mathcal{L}^N(\{|u_m| > t\}) \\ + \mathcal{L}^N(\{|T_k(u_n) - T_k(u_m)| > s\}),\end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}^N(\{|u_n| > t\}) = \frac{1}{t^p}\int_{\{|u_n|>t\}} t^p \mathrm{d}x \leq \frac{1}{t^p}\int_\Omega |T_t(u_n)|^p \mathrm{d}x. \tag{2.9}$$

Due to $v_0 = g + (\psi - g)^+ \in K_{g,\psi} \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$, by Lemma 2, one has

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega |\nabla T_t(u_n)|^p \mathrm{d}x &= \int_{\{|u_n|<t\}} |\nabla u_n|^p \mathrm{d}x \\ &\leq C(1+t)^{\theta(p-1)}(1 + t^r).\end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

Note that $T_t(u_n) - T_t(g) \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. by (2.9), (2.10) and Poincaré inequality, for every $t > \|g\|_\infty$ and for some positive constant C independent of n and t, there holds

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}^N(\{|u_n| > t\}) &\leq \frac{1}{t^p}\int_\Omega |T_t(u_n)|^p \mathrm{d}x \\ &\leq \frac{2^{p-1}}{t^p}\int_\Omega |T_t(u_n) - T_t(g)|^p \mathrm{d}x + \frac{2^{p-1}}{t^p}\|g\|_p^p \\ &\leq \frac{C}{t^p}\int_\Omega |\nabla T_t(u_n) - \nabla T_t(g)|^p \mathrm{d}x + \frac{2^{p-1}}{t^p}\|g\|_p^p \\ &\leq \frac{C}{t^p}\int_\Omega |\nabla T_t(u_n)|^p \mathrm{d}x + \frac{C}{t^p}\|g\|_{1,p}^p \\ &\leq \frac{C(1 + t^{r+\theta(p-1)})}{t^p}.\end{aligned}$$

Since $0 \leq \theta < \frac{p-r}{p-1}$, there exists $t_\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\mathcal{L}^N(\{|u_n| > t\}) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}, \quad \forall\, t \geq t_\varepsilon,\ \forall\, n \geq 1. \tag{2.11}$$

Now we have as in (2.9)

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}^N(\{|T_{t_\varepsilon}(u_n) - T_{t_\varepsilon}(u_m)| > s\}) &= \frac{1}{s^p}\int_{\{|T_{t_\varepsilon}(u_n) - T_{t_\varepsilon}(u_m)|>s\}} s^p \mathrm{d}x \\ &\leq \frac{1}{s^p}\int_\Omega |T_{t_\varepsilon}(u_n) - T_{t_\varepsilon}(u_m)|^p \mathrm{d}x.\end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

Using (2.10) and the fact that $T_t(u_n) - T_t(g) \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ again, we see that $\{T_{t_\varepsilon}(u_n)\}$ is a bounded sequence in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Thus, up to a subsequence, $\{T_{t_\varepsilon}(u_n)\}$ converges strongly in $L^p(\Omega)$. Taking into account (2.12), there exists $n_0 = n_0(t_\varepsilon, s) \geq 1$ such that

$$\mathcal{L}^N(\{|T_{t_\varepsilon}(u_n) - T_{t_\varepsilon}(u_m)| > s\}) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}, \quad \forall\, n, m \geq n_0. \tag{2.13}$$

Combining (2.8), (2.11) and (2.13), we obtain

$$\mathcal{L}^N(\{|u_n - u_m| > s\}) < \varepsilon, \quad \forall\, n, m \geq n_0.$$

Hence $\{u_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in measure, and therefore there exists a measurable function u such that $u_n \to u$ in measure. The remainder of the lemma is a consequence of the fact that $\{T_k(u_n)\}$ is a bounded sequence in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$. $\square$

Proposition 1 *There exists a subsequence of $\{u_n\}$ and a measurable function u such that for each q given in (1.11), we have*

$$u_n \to u \quad \textit{strongly in } W^{1,q}(\Omega).$$

If moreover $0 \leq \theta < \min\{\frac{1}{N-p+1}, \frac{N}{N-1} - \frac{1}{p-1}, \frac{p-r}{p-1}\}$, then

$$\frac{a(x, \nabla u_n)}{(1 + |u_n|)^{\theta(p-1)}} \to \frac{a(x, \nabla u)}{(1 + |u|)^{\theta(p-1)}} \quad \textit{strongly in } (L^1(\Omega))^N.$$

To prove Proposition 1, we need two preliminary lemmas.

Lemma 4 *There exists a subsequence of $\{u_n\}$ and a measurable function u such that for each q given in (1.11), we have $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in $W^{1,q}(\Omega)$, and $u_n \to u$ strongly in $L^q(\Omega)$.*

Proof. Let $k > 0$ and $n \geq 1$. Define $D_k = \{|u_n| \leq k\}$ and $B_k = \{k \leq |u_n| < k + 1\}$. Using Lemma 2 with $v_0 = g + (\psi - g)^+$, we get

$$\int_{D_k} \frac{|\nabla u_n|^p}{(1 + |u_n|)^{\theta(p-1)}} \mathrm{d}x \leq C(1 + k^r), \tag{2.14}$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on $\alpha,\ \beta,\ p,\ r, \|j\|_{p'},\ \|f\|_1,\ \|\nabla v_0\|_p$, and $\|v_0\|_\infty$.

Using the function $T_k(u_n)$ for $k > \|g\|_\infty, \|\psi\|_\infty$, as a test function for the problem associated with (f_n, ψ, g), we obtain

$$\int_\Omega \frac{a(x, \nabla u_n) \cdot \nabla(T_1(u_n - T_k(u_n)))}{(1 + |u_n|)^{\theta(p-1)}} \mathrm{d}x + \int_\Omega b|u_n|^{r-2} u_n T_1(u_n - T_k(u_n)) \mathrm{d}x$$
$$\leq \int_\Omega f_n T_1(u_n - T_k(u_n)) \mathrm{d}x,$$

which and (1.5) give

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{B_k} \frac{\alpha|\nabla u_n|^p}{(1+|u_n|)^{\theta(p-1)}}\mathrm{d}x + \int_\Omega b|u_n|^{r-2}u_n T_1(u_n - T_k(u_n))\mathrm{d}x &\le \|f_n\|_1 \\ &\le \|f\|_1 + 1.\end{aligned}$$

Note that on the set $\{|u_n| \ge k+1\}$, u_n and $T_1(u_n - T_k(u_n))$ have the same sign. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega |u_n|^{r-2}u_n T_1(u_n - T_k(u_n))\mathrm{d}x &= \int_{D_k} |u_n|^{r-2}u_n T_1(u_n - T_k(u_n))\mathrm{d}x \\ &\quad + \int_{B_k} |u_n|^{r-2}u_n T_1(u_n - T_k(u_n))\mathrm{d}x \\ &\quad + \int_{\{|u_n|\ge k+1\}} |u_n|^{r-2}u_n T_1(u_n - T_k(u_n))\mathrm{d}x \\ &\ge \int_{B_k} |u_n|^{r-2}u_n T_1(u_n - T_k(u_n))\mathrm{d}x.\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{B_k} \frac{\alpha|\nabla u_n|^p}{(1+|u_n|)^{\theta(p-1)}}\mathrm{d}x + &\le \|f\|_1 + 1 - \int_{B_k} b|u_n|^{r-2}u_n T_1(u_n - T_k(u_n))\mathrm{d}x \\ &\le \|f\|_1 + 1 + \int_{B_k} b|u_n|^{r-1}\mathrm{d}x \\ &\le C\left(1 + \left(\int_{B_k} |u_n|^{q^*}\mathrm{d}x\right)^{\frac{r-1}{q^*}} |B_k|^{1-\frac{r-1}{q^*}}\right), \qquad (2.15)\end{aligned}$$

where q is given in (1.11) and $q^* = \frac{Nq}{N-q}$.

Let $s = \frac{q\theta(p-1)}{p}$. Note that $q < p$ and $\frac{ps}{p-q} < q^*$. For $\forall k > 0$, we estimate $\int_{B_k} |\nabla u_n|^q \mathrm{d}x$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{B_k} |\nabla u_n|^q \mathrm{d}x &= \int_{B_k} \frac{|\nabla u_n|^q}{(1+|u_n|)^s} \cdot (1+|u_n|)^s \mathrm{d}x \\ &\le \left(\int_{B_k} \frac{|\nabla u_n|^p}{(1+|u_n|)^{\theta(p-1)}}\mathrm{d}x\right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \left(\int_{B_k} (1+|u_n|)^{\frac{ps}{p-q}}\mathrm{d}x\right)^{\frac{p-q}{p}} \\ &\le C\left(\int_{B_k} \frac{|\nabla u_n|^p}{(1+|u_n|)^{\theta(p-1)}}\mathrm{d}x\right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \left(|B_k|^{\frac{p-q}{p}} + \left(\int_{B_k} |u_n|^{\frac{ps}{p-q}}\mathrm{d}x\right)^{\frac{p-q}{p}}\right) \\ &\le C\left(\int_{B_k} \frac{|\nabla u_n|^p}{(1+|u_n|)^{\theta(p-1)}}\mathrm{d}x\right)^{\frac{q}{p}} \left(|B_k|^{\frac{p-q}{p}} + \left(\int_{B_k} |u_n|^{q^*}\mathrm{d}x\right)^{\frac{s}{q^*}} |B_k|^{\frac{p-q}{p}-\frac{s}{q^*}}\right) \\ &\le C\left(|B_k|^{\frac{p-q}{p}} + |B_k|^{\frac{p-q}{p}-\frac{s}{q^*}} \left(\int_{B_k} |u_n|^{q^*}\mathrm{d}x\right)^{\frac{s}{q^*}} + |B_k|^{1-p_1} \left(\int_{B_k} |u_n|^{q^*}\mathrm{d}x\right)^{p_1}\right. \\ &\quad \left. + |B_k|^{1-p_2} \left(\int_{B_k} |u_n|^{q^*}\mathrm{d}x\right)^{p_2}\right) \qquad \text{by (2.15)}\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= C\Bigg(|B_k|^{\frac{p-q}{p}} + |B_k|^{\frac{p-q}{p}-\frac{s}{q^*}}\bigg(\int_{B_k}|u_n|^{q^*}\mathrm{d}x\bigg)^{\frac{s}{q^*}}\\
&\quad + |B_k|^{1-p_1-C_1}|B_k|^{C_1}\bigg(\int_{B_k}|u_n|^{q^*}\mathrm{d}x\bigg)^{p_1}\\
&\quad + |B_k|^{1-p_2-C_2}|B_k|^{C_2}\bigg(\int_{B_k}|u_n|^{q^*}\mathrm{d}x\bigg)^{p_2}\Bigg),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $p_1 = \frac{q}{p}\frac{r-1}{q^*}, p_2 = \frac{s}{q^*} + \frac{q}{p}\frac{r-1}{q^*}$, C_1 and C_2 are positive constants to be chosen later. Note that $\theta < \frac{p-r}{p-1}$, it follows

$$
\frac{\theta(p-1)}{p} + \frac{r-1}{p} < \frac{p-1}{p} < 1 - \frac{1}{N} = 1 - \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{q^*}.
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\theta q(p-1)}{p} + \frac{q(r-1)}{p} + 1 < q + \frac{q}{q^*}\\
&\Leftrightarrow s + \frac{q(r-1)}{p} + 1 < q + \frac{q}{q^*}\\
&\Leftrightarrow p_2 + \frac{1-p_2}{q^*+1} < \frac{q}{q^*}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $p_1 < p_2 < 1$. Then for $i = 1, 2$, we always have

$$
p_i + \frac{1-p_i}{q^*+1} < \frac{q}{q^*} < 1.
$$

From this, we may find positive $C_i (i = 1, 2)$ such that

$$
p_i + \frac{1-p_i}{q^*+1} < p_i + C_i < \frac{q}{q^*} < 1, \quad i = 1, 2. \tag{2.16}
$$

It follows

$$
\frac{1-p_i}{q^*+1} < C_i \Leftrightarrow 1 - p_i - C_i < C_i q^*, \quad i = 1, 2,
$$

which implies

$$
C_i \alpha_i q^* = \frac{C_i q^*}{1-p_i-C_i} > 1, \quad i = 1, 2, \tag{2.17}
$$

with $\alpha_i = \frac{1}{1-p_i-C_i} > 1,\ i = 1, 2$. Let $\beta_i = \frac{1}{p_i+C_i} > 1, i = 1, 2$. Then we have $\frac{1}{\alpha_i} + \frac{1}{\beta_i} = 1 (i = 1, 2)$.
Since $|B_k| \le \frac{1}{k^{q^*}}\int_{B_k}|u_n|^{q^*}\mathrm{d}x$, $|B_k|^{1-p_1-C_1} \le |\Omega|^{1-p_1-C_1}$ and $|B_k|^{1-p_2-C_2} \le |\Omega|^{1-p_2-C_2}$, we have for $k \ge k_0 \ge 1$

$$
\int_{B_k}|\nabla u_n|^q\mathrm{d}x \le \frac{C}{k^{q^*\left(\frac{p-q}{p}-\frac{s}{q^*}\right)}}\bigg(\int_{B_k}|u_n|^{q^*}\mathrm{d}x\bigg)^{\frac{p-q}{p}} + \frac{C}{k^{q^*C_1}}\bigg(\int_{B_k}|u_n|^{q^*}\mathrm{d}x\bigg)^{p_1+C_1}
$$

$$+\frac{C}{k^{q^*C_2}}\Big(\int_{B_k}|u_n|^{q^*}\mathrm{d}x\Big)^{p_2+C_2}.$$

Summing up from $k=k_0$ to $k=K$ and using Hölder's inequality, one has

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=k_0}^{K}\int_{B_k}|\nabla u_n|^q\mathrm{d}x\le{}& C\Big(\sum_{k=k_0}^{K}\frac{1}{k^{q^*(\frac{p-q}{p}-\frac{s}{q^*})\frac{p}{q}}}\Big)^{\frac{q}{p}}\cdot\Big(\sum_{k=k_0}^{K}\int_{B_k}|u_n|^{q^*}\mathrm{d}x\Big)^{\frac{p-q}{p}}\\ &+C\Big(\sum_{k=k_0}^{K}\frac{1}{k^{q^*C_1\alpha_1}}\Big)^{\frac{1}{\alpha_1}}\cdot\Big(\sum_{k=k_0}^{K}\Big(\int_{B_k}|u_n|^{q^*}\mathrm{d}x\Big)^{\beta_1(p_1+C_1)}\Big)^{\frac{1}{\beta_1}}\\ &+C\Big(\sum_{k=k_0}^{K}\frac{1}{k^{q^*C_2\alpha_2}}\Big)^{\frac{1}{\alpha_2}}\cdot\Big(\sum_{k=k_0}^{K}\Big(\int_{B_k}|u_n|^{q^*}\mathrm{d}x\Big)^{\beta_2(p_2+C_2)}\Big)^{\frac{1}{\beta_2}}\\ ={}& C\Big(\sum_{k=k_0}^{K}\frac{1}{k^{q^*(\frac{p-q}{p}-\frac{s}{q^*})\frac{p}{q}}}\Big)^{\frac{q}{p}}\cdot\Big(\sum_{k=k_0}^{K}\int_{B_k}|u_n|^{q^*}\mathrm{d}x\Big)^{\frac{p-q}{p}}\\ &+C\Big(\sum_{k=k_0}^{K}\frac{1}{k^{q^*C_1\alpha_1}}\Big)^{\frac{1}{\alpha_1}}\cdot\Big(\sum_{k=k_0}^{K}\int_{B_k}|u_n|^{q^*}\mathrm{d}x\Big)^{p_1+C_1}\\ &+C\Big(\sum_{k=k_0}^{K}\frac{1}{k^{q^*C_2\alpha_2}}\Big)^{\frac{1}{\alpha_2}}\cdot\Big(\sum_{k=k_0}^{K}\int_{B_k}|u_n|^{q^*}\mathrm{d}x\Big)^{p_2+C_2}.\end{aligned}\tag{2.18}$$

Note that

$$\int_{\{|u_n|\le K\}}|\nabla u_n|^q\mathrm{d}x=\int_{D_{k_0}}|\nabla u_n|^q\mathrm{d}x+\sum_{k=k_0}^{K}\int_{B_k}|\nabla u_n|^q\mathrm{d}x.\tag{2.19}$$

To estimate the first integral in the right-hand side of (2.19), we compute by using Hölder's inequality and (2.14), obtaining

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{D_{k_0}}|\nabla u_n|^q\mathrm{d}x&\le\Big(\int_{D_{k_0}}\frac{|\nabla u_n|^p}{(1+|u_n|)^{\theta(p-1)}}\mathrm{d}x\Big)^{\frac{q}{p}}\Big(\int_{D_{k_0}}(1+|u_n|)^{\frac{ps}{p-q}}\mathrm{d}x\Big)^{\frac{p-q}{p}}\\ &\le C,\end{aligned}\tag{2.20}$$

where C depending only on $\alpha,\ \beta,\ p,\ \theta,\ \|j\|_{p'},\ \|f\|_1,\ \|\nabla v_0\|_p,\ \|v_0\|_\infty$ and k_0.

Note that $\sum_{k=k_0}^{K}\frac{1}{k^{q^*(\frac{p-q}{p}-\frac{s}{q^*})\frac{p}{q}}}$ and $\sum_{k=k_0}^{K}\frac{1}{k^{q^*C_i\alpha_i}}$ converge due to the fact that $q^*(\frac{p-q}{p}-\frac{s}{q^*})\frac{p}{q}>1$ and $q^*C_i\alpha_i>1$ by (2.17), respectively. Combining (2.18)-(2.20), we get for k_0 large enough

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\{|u_n|\le K\}}|\nabla u_n|^q\mathrm{d}x\le{}& C+C\Big(\int_{\{|u_n|\le K\}}|u_n|^{q^*}\mathrm{d}x\Big)^{\frac{p-q}{p}}+C\Big(\int_{\{|u_n|\le K\}}|u_n|^{q^*}\mathrm{d}x\Big)^{p_1+C_1}\\ &+C\Big(\int_{\{|u_n|\le K\}}|u_n|^{q^*}\mathrm{d}x\Big)^{p_2+C_2}.\end{aligned}\tag{2.21}$$

Since $p > q$, $T_K(u_n) \in W^{1,q}(\Omega)$, $T_K(g) = g \in W^{1,q}(\Omega)$ for $K > \|g\|_\infty$. Hence $T_K(u_n) - g \in W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)$. Using the Sobolev embedding $W_0^{1,q}(\Omega) \subset L^{q^*}(\Omega)$ and Poincaré inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_K(u_n)\|_{q^*}^q &\leq 2^{q-1}(\|T_K(u_n) - g\|_{q^*}^q + \|g\|_{q^*}^q) \\ &\leq C(\|\nabla(T_K(u_n) - g)\|_q^q + \|g\|_{q^*}^q) \\ &\leq C(\|\nabla T_K(u_n)\|_q^q + \|\nabla g\|_q^q + \|g\|_{q^*}^q) \\ &\leq C\left(1 + \int_{\{|u_n| \leq K\}} |\nabla u_n|^q \mathrm{d}x\right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

Using the fact that

$$\int_{\{|u_n| \leq K\}} |u_n|^{q^*} \mathrm{d}x \leq \int_{\{|u_n| \leq K\}} |T_K(u_n)|^{q^*} \mathrm{d}x \leq \|T_K(u_n)\|_{q^*}^{q^*}, \tag{2.23}$$

we obtain from (2.21)-(2.22),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\{|u_n| \leq K\}} |\nabla u_n|^q \mathrm{d}x \leq C + C&\left(1 + \int_{\{|u_n| \leq K\}} |\nabla u_n|^q \mathrm{d}x\right)^{\frac{q^*}{q}\frac{p-q}{p}} \\ &+ C\left(1 + \int_{\{|u_n| \leq K\}} |\nabla u_n|^q \mathrm{d}x\right)^{(p_1+C_1)\frac{q^*}{q}} \\ &+ C\left(1 + \int_{\{|u_n| \leq K\}} |\nabla u_n|^q \mathrm{d}x\right)^{(p_2+C_2)\frac{q^*}{q}}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$

Note that $p < N \Leftrightarrow \frac{q^*}{q}\frac{p-q}{p} < 1$ and $(p_i + C_i)\frac{q^*}{q} < 1$ by (2.16). It follows from (2.24) that for k_0 large enough, $\int_{\{|u_n| \leq K\}} |\nabla u_n|^q \mathrm{d}x$ is bounded independently of n and K. Using (2.22) and (2.23), we deduce that $\int_{\{|u_n| \leq K\}} |u_n|^{q^*} \mathrm{d}x$ is also bounded independently of n and K. Letting $K \to \infty$, we deduce that $\|\nabla u_n\|_q$ and $\|u_n\|_{q^*}$ are uniformly bounded independently of n. Particularly, u_n is bounded in $W^{1,q}(\Omega)$. Therefore, there exists a subsequence of $\{u_n\}$ and a function $v \in W^{1,q}(\Omega)$ such that $u_n \rightharpoonup v$ weakly in $W^{1,q}(\Omega)$, $u_n \to v$ strongly in $L^q(\Omega)$ and a.e. in Ω. By Lemma 3, $u_n \to u$ in measure in Ω, we conclude that $u = v$ and $u \in W^{1,q}(\Omega)$. $\square$

Lemma 5 *There exists a subsequence of $\{u_n\}$ and a measurable function u such that ∇u_n converges almost everywhere in Ω to ∇u.*

Proof. The proof is quite similar to Theorem 4.1 in [1], which can be also found in [6]. Here we sketch only the main steps due to slight modifications. For $r_2 > 1$, let $\lambda = \frac{q}{pr_2} < 1$, where q is the same as in Lemma 4. Define $A(x, u, \xi) = \frac{a(x,\xi)}{(1+|u|)^{\theta(p-1)}}$ (for the sake of simplicity, we omit the dependence of $A(x, u, \xi)$ on x) and

$$I(n) = \int_\Omega ((A(u_n, \nabla u_n) - A(u_n, \nabla u)) \cdot \nabla(u_n - u))^\lambda \mathrm{d}x.$$

We fix $k > 0$ and split the integral in $I(n)$ on the sets $\{|u| > k\}$ and $\{|u| \le k\}$, obtaining

$$I_1(n,k) = \int_{\{|u|>k\}} ((A(u_n,\nabla u_n) - A(u_n,\nabla u)) \cdot \nabla(u_n - u))^\lambda \mathrm{d}x,$$

and

$$I_2(n,k) = \int_{\{|u|\le k\}} ((A(u_n,\nabla u_n) - A(u_n,\nabla u)) \cdot \nabla(u_n - u))^\lambda \mathrm{d}x.$$

For $I_2(n,k)$, one has

$$I_2(n,k) \le I_3(n,k) = \int_\Omega ((A_n(u_n,\nabla u_n) - A_n(u_n,\nabla T_k(u))) \cdot \nabla(u_n - T_k(u)))^\lambda dx.$$

Fix $h > 0$ and split $I_3(n,k)$ on the sets $\{|u_n - T_k(u)| > h\}$ and $\{|u_n - T_k(u)| \le h\}$, obtaining

$$I_4(n,k,h) = \int_{\{|u_n - T_k(u)|>h\}} ((A_n(u_n,\nabla u_n) - A_n(u_n,\nabla T_k(u))) \cdot \nabla(u_n - T_k(u)))^\lambda \mathrm{d}x,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} I_5(n,k,h) &= \int_{\{|u_n - T_k(u)|\le h\}} ((A_n(u_n,\nabla u_n) - A_n(u_n,\nabla T_k(u))) \cdot \nabla(u_n - T_k(u)))^\lambda \mathrm{d}x \\ &= \int_\Omega ((A_n(u_n,\nabla u_n) - A_n(u_n,\nabla T_k(u))) \cdot \nabla T_h(u_n - T_k(u)))^\lambda \mathrm{d}x \\ &\le |\Omega|^{1-\lambda} \left(\int_\Omega (A_n(u_n,\nabla u_n) - A_n(u_n,\nabla T_k(u))) \cdot \nabla T_h(u_n - T_k(u)) \mathrm{d}x \right)^\lambda \\ &= |\Omega|^{1-\lambda} \big(I_6(n,k,h)\big)^\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

For $I_6(n,k,h)$, it can be split as the difference $I_7(n,k,h) - I_8(n,k,h)$
where

$$I_7(n,k,h) = \int_\Omega A(u_n,\nabla u_n) \cdot \nabla T_h(u_n - T_k(u)) \mathrm{d}x,$$

and

$$I_8(n,k,h) = \int_\Omega A(u_n,\nabla T_k(u)) \cdot \nabla T_h(u_n - T_k(u)) \mathrm{d}x.$$

Note that $|\nabla u_n|$ is bounded in $L^q(\Omega)$ and $\lambda p r_2 = q$. Thanks to Lemma 3 and Lemma 4, one may get in the same way as Theorem 4.1 in [1] that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \limsup_{n\to\infty} I_1(n,k) = 0, \ \lim_{h\to\infty} \limsup_{k\to\infty} \limsup_{n\to\infty} I_4(n,k,h) = 0, \ \lim_{n\to\infty} I_8(n,k,h) = 0.$$

For $I_7(n,k,h)$, let $k > \max\{\|g\|_\infty, \|\psi\|_\infty\}$ and $n \geq h+k$. Take $T_k(u)$ as a test function for (1.9), obtaining

$$I_7(n,k,h) \leq \int_\Omega f_n T_h(u_n - T_k(u))\mathrm{d}x + \int_\Omega b|u_n|^{r-2}u_n T_h(u_n - T_k(u))\mathrm{d}x.$$

Note that $r-1 < q^*$, and $\int_\Omega |u_n|^{q^*}\mathrm{d}x$ is uniformly bounded (see the proof of Lemma 4),thus $|u_n|$ converges strongly in $L^1(\Omega)$. Therefore we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_\Omega |u_n|^{r-2}u_n T_h(u_n - T_k(u))\mathrm{d}x = \int_\Omega |u|^{r-2}u T_h(u - T_k(u))\mathrm{d}x.$$

Then using the strong convergence of f_n in $L^1(\Omega)$, one has

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} I_7(n,k,h) \leq \int_\Omega -f T_h(u - T_k(u))\mathrm{d}x + \int_\Omega b|u|^{r-2}u T_h(u - T_k(u))\mathrm{d}x.$$

It follows

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\lim_{n\to\infty} I_7(n,k,h) \leq 0.$$

Putting together all the limitations and noting that $I(n) \geq 0$, we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} I(n) = 0.$$

The same arguments as [1] give that, up to subsequence, $\nabla u_n(x) \to \nabla u(x)$ *a.e.*. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 1. We shall prove that ∇u_n converges strongly to ∇u_n in $L^q(\Omega)$ for each q, being given by (1.11). To do that,we will apply Vitalli's Theorem, using the fact that by Lemma 4, ∇u_n is bounded in $L^q(\Omega)$ for each q given by (1.11). So let $s \in (q, \frac{N(p-1)(1-\theta)}{N-1-\theta(p-1)})$ and $E \subset \Omega$ be a measurable set. Then, we have by Hölder's inequality.

$$\int_E |\nabla u_n|^q \mathrm{d}x \leq \left(\int_E |\nabla u_n|^r \mathrm{d}x\right)^{\frac{q}{s}} \cdot |E|^{\frac{s-q}{s}} \leq C|E|^{\frac{s-q}{s}} \to 0$$

uniformly in n, as $|E| \to 0$. From this and Lemma 5, we deduce that ∇u_n converges strongly to ∇u in $L^q(\Omega)$.

Now assume that $0 \leq \theta < \min\{\frac{1}{N-p+1}, \frac{N}{N-1} - \frac{1}{p-1}, \frac{p-r}{p-1}\}$. Note that since ∇u_n converges to ∇u a.e. in Ω, to prove the convergence

$$\frac{a(x,\nabla u_n)}{(1+|u_n|)^{\theta(p-1)}} \to \frac{a(x,\nabla u)}{(1+|u|)^{\theta(p-1)}} \text{ strongly in } (L^1(\Omega))^N,$$

it suffices, thanks to Vitallis Theorem, to show that for every measurable subset $E \subset \Omega$, $\int_E \left|\frac{a(x,\nabla u_n)}{(1+|u_n|)^{\theta(p-1)}}\right|\mathrm{d}x$ converges to 0 uniformly in n, as $|E| \to 0$. Note that $p-1 <$

$\frac{N(p-1)(1-\theta)}{N-1-\theta(p-1)}$) by assumptions. For any $q \in (p-1, \frac{N(p-1)(1-\theta)}{N-1-\theta(p-1)})$), we deduce by Hölder's inequality

$$\begin{aligned}\int_E \left|\frac{a(x,\nabla u_n)}{(1+|u_n|)^{\theta(p-1)}}\right| \mathrm{d}x &\leq \beta \int_E (j+|\nabla u_n|^{p-1})\mathrm{d}x \\ &\leq \beta \|j\|_{p'}|E|^{\frac{1}{p}} + \beta \bigg(\int_E |\nabla u_n|^q \mathrm{d}x\bigg)^{\frac{q}{p-1}} |E|^{\frac{q-p+1}{q}} \\ &\to 0 \ \text{ uniformly in } n \ \text{ as } |E| \to 0. \quad \square\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 6 *There exists a subsequence of* $\{u_n\}$ *such that for all* $k > 0$

$$\frac{a(x,\nabla T_k(u_n))}{(1+|T_k(u_n)|)^{\theta(p-1)}} \to \frac{a(x,\nabla T_k(u))}{(1+|T_k(u)|)^{\theta(p-1)}} \quad \textit{strongly in } (L^1(\Omega))^N.$$

Proof. Let k be a positive number. It is well known that if a sequence of measurable functions $\{u_n\}$ with uniformly boundedness in $L^s(\Omega)(s > 1)$ converges in measure to u, then, u_n converges strongly to u in $L^1(\Omega)$. First note that the sequence $\{\frac{a(x,\nabla T_k(u_n))}{(1+|T_k(u_n)|)^{\theta(p-1)}}\}$ is bounded in $L^{p'}(\Omega)$. Indeed, we have by (1.6) and Lemma 2,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega \left|\frac{a(x,\nabla T_k(u_n))}{(1+|T_k(u_n)|)^{\theta(p-1)}}\right|^{p'} \mathrm{d}x &\leq \beta \|j\|_{p'}^{p'} + \beta \int_\Omega \frac{|\nabla T_k(u_n)|^p}{(1+|T_k(u_n)|)^{\theta p}} \mathrm{d}x \\ &\leq \beta \|j\|_{p'}^{p'} + \beta \int_\Omega \frac{|\nabla T_k(u_n)|^p}{(1+|T_k(u_n)|)^{\theta(p-1)}} \mathrm{d}x \\ &\leq C.\end{aligned}$$

Next, it suffices to show that there exists a subsequence of $\{u_n\}$ such that

$$\frac{a(x,\nabla T_k(u_n))}{(1+|T_k(u_n)|)^{\theta(p-1)}} \to \frac{a(x,\nabla T_k(u))}{(1+|T_k(u)|)^{\theta(p-1)}} \text{ in measure.}$$

Note that $u_n, u \in W^{1,q}(\Omega)$, where q is the same as in Proposition 1. The a.e. convergence of u_n to u and the fact that $\nabla u_n \to \nabla u$ in measure imply that

$$\nabla T_k(u_n) \to \nabla T_k(u) \ \text{ in measure.}$$

Let $s,\ t$ be positive numbers and write $\nabla_A u = \frac{a(x,\nabla u)}{(1+|u|)^{\theta(p-1)}}$. Define

$$\begin{aligned}&E_n = \{|\nabla_A T_k(u_n) - \nabla_A T_k(u)| > s\}, \\ &E_n^1 = \{|\nabla T_k(u_n)| > t\}, \\ &E_n^2 = \{|\nabla T_k(u)| > t\}, \\ &E_n^3 = E_n \cap \{|\nabla T_k(u_n)| \leq t\} \cap \{|\nabla T_k(u)| \leq t\}.\end{aligned}$$

Note that $E_n \subset E_n^1 \cup E_n^2 \cup E_n^3$ for each $n \geq 1$. Using the fact by Lemma 4, the sequence $\{u_n\}$ and the fucntion u are uniformly bounded in $W^{1,q}(\Omega)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}^N(E_n^1) &\leq \frac{1}{t^q}\int_\Omega |\nabla T_k(u_n)|^q \mathrm{d}x \leq \frac{1}{t^q}\int_\Omega |\nabla u_n|^q \mathrm{d}x \leq \frac{C}{t^q},\\
\mathcal{L}^N(E_n^2) &\leq \frac{1}{t^q}\int_\Omega |\nabla T_k(u)|^q \mathrm{d}x \leq \frac{1}{t^q}\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^q \mathrm{d}x \leq \frac{C}{t^q}.
\end{aligned}$$

We deduce that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $t_\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\mathcal{L}^N(E_n^1) + \mathcal{L}^N(E_n^2) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}, \qquad \forall t \geq t_\varepsilon,\ \forall n \geq 1. \tag{2.25}$$

Note that for $a \geq b \geq 0, \gamma \geq 0$, we have the following inequality

$$\left|\frac{1}{(1+a)^\tau} - \frac{1}{(1+b)^\tau}\right| = \left|\frac{\tau(b-a)}{(1+c)^{1+\tau}}\right| \leq \tau|b-a| \quad \text{for some } c \in [b,a].$$

We deduce from (1.10) and (1.6) that in E_n^3

$$\begin{aligned}
s < |\nabla_A T_k(u_n) - \nabla_A T_k(u)| = &\left|\frac{a(x,\nabla T_k(u_n)) - a(x,\nabla T_k(u))}{(1+|T_k(u_n)|)^{\theta(p-1)}}\right.\\
&+ \left.\left(\frac{1}{(1+|T_k(u_n)|)^{\theta(p-1)}} - \frac{1}{(1+|T_k(u)|)^{\theta(p-1)}}\right) a(x,\nabla T_k(u))\right|\\
&\leq \theta(p-1)|T_k(u_n) - T_k(u)| \cdot \beta(j + |\nabla T_k(u)|^{p-1})\\
&+ \gamma \begin{cases} |\nabla T_k(u_n) - \nabla T_k(u)|^{p-1}, & \text{if } 1 < p < 2\\ |\nabla T_k(u_n) - \nabla T_k(u)|(1+|\nabla T_k(u_n)| + |\nabla T_k(u)|)^{p-2}, & \text{if } p \geq 2 \end{cases}\\
&\leq C_0 j|T_k(u_n) - T_k(u)|\\
&+ C_0(1+t^{p-1}+t^{p-2})(|T_k(u_n) - T_k(u)| + |\nabla T_k(u_n) - \nabla T_k(u)|),
\end{aligned}$$

which leads to $E_n^3 \subset F_1 \cup F_2$, with

$$\begin{aligned}
F_1 &= \{j|T_k(u_n) - T_k(u)| > \frac{s}{2C_0}\},\\
F_2 &= \left\{|T_k(u_n) - T_k(u)| + |\nabla T_k(u_n) - \nabla T_k(u)| > \frac{s}{2C_0(1+t^{p-1}+t^{p-2})}\right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

In F_1, we have

$$\mathcal{L}^N(F_1) = \frac{2C_0}{s}\int_{F_1} \frac{s}{2C_0}\mathrm{d}x < \frac{2C_0}{s}\int_{F_1} j|T_k(u_n) - T_k(u)|\mathrm{d}x.$$

By Lemma 3, we deduce that there exists $n_0 = n_0(S, C_0, \varepsilon)$ such that

$$\mathcal{L}^N(F_1) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3}, \quad \forall\, n \geq n_0. \tag{2.26}$$

For F_2, note that $F^2 \subset F_3 \cup F_4$, with

$$F_3 = \left\{ |T_k(u_n) - T_k(u)| > \frac{s}{4C_0(1 + t^{p-1} + t^{p-2})} \right\},$$
$$F_4 = \left\{ |\nabla T_k(u_n) - \nabla T_k(u)| > \frac{s}{4C_0(1 + t^{p-1} + t^{p-2})} \right\}.$$

Using the convergence in measure of $\nabla T_k(u_n)$ to $\nabla T_k(u)$ and $T_k(u_n)$ to $T_k(u)$, for $t = t_\varepsilon$, we obtain the existence of $n_1 = n_1(s, \varepsilon) \geq 1$ such that

$$\mathcal{L}^N(F_2) \leq \mathcal{L}^N(F_3) + \mathcal{L}^N(F_4) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}, \quad \forall\, n \geq n_1. \tag{2.27}$$

Combining (2.25), (2.26) and (2.27), we obtain

$$\mathcal{L}^N(\{|\nabla_A T_k(u_n) - \nabla_A T_k(u)| > s\}) < \varepsilon, \quad \forall\, n \geq \max\{n_0, n_1\}.$$

Hence the sequence $\{\nabla_A T_k(u_n)\}$ converges in measure to $\nabla_A T_k(u)$ and the lemma follows. $\square$

3 Proof of the main result

Now we have gathered all the lemmas needed to prove the existence of an entropy solution to the obstacle problem associated with (f, ψ, g). In this part, let f_n be a sequence of smooth functions converging strongly to f in $L^1(\Omega)$, with $\|f_n\|_1 \leq \|f\|_1 + 1$. We consider the sequence of approximated obstacle problems associated with (f_n, ψ, g). As mentioned in Section 2, one may proceed exactly as in [15], where the existence of a solution for nonlinear elliptic equations with degenerate coercivity was established, to get a solution $u_n \in K_{g,\psi}$ associated with (f_n, ψ, g). Obviously, u_n is also an entropy solution.

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $v \in K_{g,\psi}$. Taking v as a test function in (1.9) associated with (f_n, ψ, g), we get

$$\int_\Omega \frac{a(x, \nabla u_n)}{(1 + |u_n|)^{\theta(p-1)}} \cdot \nabla(T_t(u_n - v))\mathrm{d}x + \int_\Omega b|u_n|^{r-2} u_n T_t(u_n - v)\mathrm{d}x$$
$$\leq \int_\Omega f_n T_t(u_n - v)\mathrm{d}x.$$

Since $\{|u_n - v| < t\} \subset \{|u_n| < s\}$ with $s = t + \|v\|_\infty$, the previous inequality can be written as

$$\int_\Omega \chi_n \nabla_A T_s(u_n) \cdot \nabla v \mathrm{d}x \geq \int_\Omega -f_n T_t(u_n - v)\mathrm{d}x + \int_\Omega b|u_n|^{r-2} u_n T_t(u_n - v)\mathrm{d}x$$

$$+\int_\Omega \chi_n \nabla_A T_s(u_n)\cdot\nabla T_s(u_n)\mathrm{d}x, \tag{3.1}$$

where $\chi_n = \chi_{\{|u_n - v|<t\}}$ and $\nabla_A u = \frac{a(x,\nabla u)}{(1+|u|)^{\theta(p-1)}}$. It is clear that $\chi_n \rightharpoonup \chi$ weakly* in $L^\infty(\Omega)$. Moreover, χ_n converges a.e. to $\chi_{\{|u-v|<t\}}$ in $\Omega \setminus \{|u-v|=t\}$. It follows that

$$\chi = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{in } \{|u-v|<t\}, \\ 0, & \text{in } \{|u-v|>t\}. \end{cases}$$

Note that we have $\mathcal{L}^N(\{|u-v|=t\}) = 0$ for a.e. $t \in (0,\infty)$. So there exists a measurable set $\mathcal{O} \subset (0,\infty)$ such that $\mathcal{L}^N(\{|u-v|=t\}) = 0$ for all $t \in (0,\infty)\setminus\mathcal{O}$. Assume that $t \in (0,\infty)\setminus\mathcal{O}$. Then χ_n converges weakly* in $L^\infty(\Omega)$ and a.e. in Ω to $\chi = \chi_{\{|u-v|<t\}}$. Since $\nabla T_s(u_n)$ converges a.e. to $\nabla T_s(u)$ in Ω (Proposition 1), we obtain by Fatou's Lemma

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \int_\Omega \chi_n \nabla_A T_s(u_n)\cdot\nabla T_s(u_n)\mathrm{d}x \ge \int_\Omega \chi\nabla_A T_s(u)\cdot\nabla T_s(u)\mathrm{d}x. \tag{3.2}$$

Using the strong convergence of $\nabla_A T_s(u_n)$ to $\nabla_A T_s(u)$ in $L^1(\Omega)$ (Lemma 6) and the weak* convergence of χ_n to χ in $L^\infty(\Omega)$, we obtain

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_\Omega \chi_n \nabla_A T_s(u_n)\cdot\nabla v\mathrm{d}x = \int_\Omega \chi\nabla_A T_s(u)\cdot\nabla v\mathrm{d}x. \tag{3.3}$$

Moreover, due to the strong convergence of f_n to f and $|u_n|^{r-2}u_n$ to $|u|^{r-2}u$ (by $r-1<q^*$ and the boundedness of $\|u_n\|_{q^*}$) in $L^1(\Omega)$, and the weak* convergence of $T_t(u_n - v)$ to $T_t(u-v)$ in $L^\infty(\Omega)$, by passing to the limit in (3.1) and taking into account (3.2)-(3.3), we obtain

$$\int_\Omega \chi\nabla_A T_s(u)\cdot\nabla v\mathrm{d}x - \int_\Omega \chi\nabla_A T_s(u)\cdot\nabla T_s(u)\mathrm{d}x \ge \int_\Omega -fT_t(u-v)\mathrm{d}x + \int_\Omega b|u|^{r-2}uT_t(u-v)\mathrm{d}x,$$

which can be written as

$$\int_{\{|v-u|\le t\}} \chi\nabla_A T_s(u)\cdot(\nabla v - \nabla u)\mathrm{d}x \ge \int_\Omega -fT_t(u-v)\mathrm{d}x + \int_\Omega b|u|^{r-2}uT_t(u-v)\mathrm{d}x,$$

or since $\chi = \chi_{\{|u-v|<t\}}$ and $\nabla(T_t(u-v)) = \chi_{\{|u-v|<t\}}\nabla(u-v)$

$$\int_\Omega \nabla_A u\cdot\nabla T_t(u-v)\mathrm{d}x + \int_\Omega b|u|^{r-2}uT_t(u-v)\mathrm{d}x \le \int_\Omega fT_t(u-v)\mathrm{d}x, \forall\ t\in(0,\infty)\setminus\mathcal{O}.$$

For $t \in \mathcal{O}$, we know that there exists a sequence $\{t_k\}$ of numbers in $(0,\infty)\setminus\mathcal{O}$ such that $t_k \to t$ due to $|\mathcal{O}| = 0$. Therefore, we have

$$\int_\Omega \nabla_A u\cdot\nabla T_{t_k}(u-v)\mathrm{d}x + \int_\Omega b|u|^{r-2}uT_{t_k}(u-v)\mathrm{d}x \le \int_\Omega fT_{t_k}(u-v)\mathrm{d}x. \tag{3.4}$$

Since $\nabla(u-v)=0$ a.e. in $\{|u-v|=t\}$, the left-hand side of (3.4) can be written as

$$\int_\Omega \nabla_A u\cdot\nabla T_{t_k}(u-v)\mathrm{d}x=\int_{\Omega\setminus\{|u-v|=t\}}\chi_{\{|u-v|<t_k\}}\nabla_A u\cdot\nabla(u-v)\mathrm{d}x.$$

The sequence $\chi_{\{|u-v|<t_k\}}$ converges to $\chi_{\{|u-v|<t\}}$ a.e. in $\Omega\setminus\{|u-v|=t\}$ and therefore converges weakly* in $L^\infty(\Omega\setminus\{|u-v|=t\})$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{k\to\infty}\int_\Omega \nabla_A u\cdot\nabla T_{t_k}(u-v)\mathrm{d}x&=\int_{\Omega\setminus\{|u-v|=t\}}\chi_{\{|u-v|<t\}}\nabla_A u\cdot\nabla(u-v)\mathrm{d}x\\&=\int_\Omega\chi_{\{|u-v|<t\}}\nabla_A u\cdot\nabla(u-v)\mathrm{d}x\\&=\int_\Omega\nabla_A u\cdot\nabla T_t(u-v)\mathrm{d}x.\end{aligned}\tag{3.5}$$

For the right-hand side of (3.4), we have

$$\left|\int_\Omega fT_{t_k}(u-v)\mathrm{d}x-\int_\Omega fT_t(u-v)\mathrm{d}x\right|\le|t_k-t|\cdot\|f\|_1\to0\ \text{ as } k\to\infty.\tag{3.6}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\int_\Omega|u|^{r-2}uT_{t_k}(u-v)\mathrm{d}x-\int_\Omega|u|^{r-2}uT_t(u-v)\mathrm{d}x\right|&\le|t_k-t|\cdot\||u|^{r-1}\|_1\\&\to0\text{ as }k\to\infty.\end{aligned}\tag{3.7}$$

It follows from (3.4)-(3.7) that we have the inequality

$$\int_\Omega\nabla_A u\cdot\nabla T_t(u-v)\mathrm{d}x+\int_\Omega b|u|^{r-2}uT_t(u-v)\mathrm{d}x\le\int_\Omega fT_t(u-v)\mathrm{d}x,\ \forall\ t\in(0,\infty).$$

Hence, u is an entropy solution of the obstacle problem associated with (f,ψ,g).

For the regularity of an entropy solution u, it suffices to replace u_n and f_n with u and f respectively in Lemma 4 and one can obtain the boundedness of u in $W^{1,q}(\Omega)$. □

Acknowledgments. This work is supported in part by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities: 10801B10096018.

References

[1] A. Alvino, L. Boccardo, V. Ferone, L. Orsina, G. Trombetti, Existence results for nonlinear elliptic equations with degenerate coercivity, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 182 (1) (2003) 53-79.

[2] A. Alvino, V. Ferone, G. Trombetti, A priori estimates for a class of non uniformly elliptic equations, Atti Semin. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena 46-suppl. (1998) 381-391.

[3] P. Bénilan, L. Boccardo, T. Gallouët, R. Gariepy, M. Pierre, J. L. Vazquez, An L^1 theory of existence and uniqueness of nonlinear elliptic equations, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. 22 (2) (1995) 240-273.

[4] L. Boccardo, Quasilinear elliptic equations with natural growth terms: the regularizing effects of the lower order terms, J. Nonlin. Conv. Anal. 7 (3) (2006) 355-365.

[5] L. Boccardo, H. Brezis, Some remarks on a class of elliptic equations, Boll. Unione Mat. Ital. 6B (3) (2003) 521-530.

[6] L. Boccardo, Some nonlinear Dirichlet problems in L^1 involving lower order terms in divergence form, Pitman Res. Notes Math. Ser. 350 Longman, Harlow (1996) 43-57.

[7] L. Boccardo, G. R. Cirmi, Existence and uniqueness of solution of unilateral problems with L^1 data, J. Convex Anal. 6 (1) (1999) 195-206.

[8] L. Boccardo, G. Croce, L. Orsina, Existence of solutions for some noncoercive elliptic problems involving deriratives of nonlinear terms, (2012) arXiv:1206.3694

[9] L. Boccardo, A. Dall'Aglio, L. Orsina, Existence and regularity results for some elliptic equations with degenerate coercivity, Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena. 46-suppl. (1998) 51-81.

[10] L. Boccardo, T. Gallouët, Problèmes unilatéraux avec données dans L^1, C. R. Acad. Sci. Série I. Math. 311 (10) (1990) 617-619.

[11] L. Boccardo, T. Gallouët, J. L. Váquez, Nonlinear elliptic equations in $\mathbb{R}^N$ without growth restrictions on the data, J. Differential Equations 105 (2) (1993) 334-363.

[12] S. Challal, A. Lyaghfouri, J. F. Rodrigues, On the A-obstacle problem and the Hausdorff measure of its free boundary, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 191 (1) (2012) 113-165.

[13] G. R. Cirmi, Regularity of the solutions to nonlinear elliptic equations with a lower-order term, Nonlinear Anal. 25 (6) (1995) 569-580.

[14] G. Croce, The regularizing effects of some lower order terms in an elliptic equation with degenerate coercivity, (2010) arXiv:1005.0203v1

[15] F. Della Pietra, G. di Blasio, Comparison, existence and regularity results for a class of nonuniformly elliptic equations, Differ. Equ. Appl. 2 (1) (2010) 79-103.

[16] D. Giachetti, M. M. Porzio, Existence results for some non uniformly elliptic equations with irregular data, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 257 (1) (2001) 100-130.

[17] D. Giachetti, M. M. Porzio, Elliptic equations with degenerate coercivity, gradient regularity, Acta Math. Sinica 19 (2) (2003) 349-370.

[18] J. Leray, J. L. Lions, Quelques résultats de Višik sur les problèmes elliptiques nonlinéaires par les méthodes de Minty-Browder, Bull. Soc. Math. France 93 (1965) 97-107.

[19] Z. Li, W. Gao, Existence results to a nonlinear $p(x)$-Laplace equation with degenerate coercivity and zero-order term: renormalized and entropy solutions, Appl. Anal. (2015) http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00036811.2015.1004321

[20] J. L. Lions, Quelques méthodes de résolution des problèmes aux limites non linéaires, Paris: Dunod, Gauthier-Villars, 1969.

[21] M. C. Palmeri, Entropy subsolutions and supersolutions for nonlinear elliptic equations in L^1, Ricerche Mat. 53 (2004) 183-212.

[22] A. Porretta, Uniqueness and homogenization for a class of noncoercive operators in divergence form, Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena 46 (1998) 915-936.

[23] J. F. Rodrigues, M. Sanchón, J. M. Urbano, The obstacle problem for nonlinear elliptic equations with variable growth and L^1-data, Monatsh. Math. 154 (4) (2008) 303-322.